UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

N NO. C-10-371	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION ON CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

On April 21, 2011, United States Magistrate Judge Brian L. Owsley signed a Memorandum and Recommendation recommending as follows:

- 1) that the plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment, (D.E. 11), be granted with respect to the Administrative Law Judge's omission of vertigo from the list of plaintiff's severe impairments, with respect to the calculation of plaintiff's mental Residual Functional Capacity, with respect to her assessment of plaintiff's credibility, and with respect to her formulation of the hypothetical question;
- 2) that plaintiff's motion be denied with respect to his challenge to the Administrative Law Judge's definition of moderate limitations;
- 3) that defendant's motion for summary judgment, (D.E. 7, 8), be granted with respect to his claims that moderate limitations were properly defined and denied in all other respects; and
- 4) that this matter be remanded for further proceedings consistent with the memorandum and recommendation.

Seeing no objection to this recommendation by either party and having now reviewed the

recommendation, this Court hereby adopts as its own the Memorandum and Recommendation as

the decision of the Court. Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1) the plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment, (D.E. 11), is granted with respect

to the Administrative Law Judge's omission of vertigo from the list of plaintiff's severe

impairments, with respect to the calculation of plaintiff's mental Residual Functional Capacity,

with respect to her assessment of plaintiff's credibility, and with respect to her formulation of the

hypothetical question;

2) plaintiff's motion is denied with respect to his challenge to the Administrative Law

Judge's definition of moderate limitations;

3) defendant's motion for summary judgment, (D.E. 7, 8), is granted with respect to his

claims that moderate limitations were properly defined and denied in all other respects; and

4) this matter is remanded for further proceedings consistent with the memorandum and

recommendation.

SIGNED and ORDERED this 11th day of May, 2011.

Janis Graham Jack

United States District Judge